# Operating Systems: Processes and Threads

keleher

February 20, 2024

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>Outline [Sync](#page-1-0)hrone Synchrone Synchrone Synchrone Synchrone Synchrone Synchrone Synchrone Synchrone Synchrone Synchrone

- 1. [Synchronization](#page-1-0)
- 2. [Bounded counter](#page-11-0)

## Locks [Sync](#page-1-0)

- Lock operations: acquire and release
- $\blacksquare$  lck ← Lock() // define a lock
- $\blacksquare$  lck.acq() // acquire the lock; blocking
	- call only if caller does not hold lck
	- **returns only when no other thread holds lck**

# $\blacksquare$  lck.rel() // release the lock; non-blocking

- call only if caller holds lck
- $\blacksquare$  lck.rel() does not give priority to threads blocked in lck.acq()

- Condition variable operations: wait, signal and signal all
- A condition variable is associated with a lock
- $\Box$  cv  $\leftarrow$  Condition(Ick) // condition variable associated with Ick
- cv.wait() // wait on cv; blocking
	- call only if caller already holds lck
	- atomically release lck and wait on cv when awakened: acquire lck and return

■ cv.signal() and the cv.signal cv; non-blocking

- call only if caller holds lck
- wake up a thread (if any) waiting on cv

 $\Box$  cv.signal  $\Box$  // wake up all threads waiting on cv

 $\blacksquare$  lck.acq() does not give priority to threads blocked in cv.wait()

### Why do conditionals have associated locks  $\Box$  [Sync](#page-1-0)

```
int done = 0:
\mathbf{a}pthread mutex t m = PTHREAD MUTEX INITIALIZER:
\overline{2}pthread cond t c = PTHREAD COND INITIALIZER;
\overline{a}\overline{A}void thr exit () {
\tilde{\mathbf{a}}Pthread mutex lock (&m) ;
\overline{a}done = 1;
\overline{z}Pthread_cond_signal(&c);
\overline{\mathbf{8}}\overline{9}Pthread mutex unlock (&m) :
   \rightarrow10
11void *child(void *arg) {
12
         printf("child\n'\13thr\_exit();
1415
         return NULL;
16
17atomically
    void thr join () {
18
                                                           release lock
         Pthread mutex lock (&m) ;
19and wait()
         while (done == 0)\gammaPthread_cond_wait(&c, &m);
21
         Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
\overline{22}re-acquires
23lock on
24
                                                        wake
    int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
25
         printf("parent: begin\n");
26
         pthread_t p;
27Pthread_create(&p, NULL, child, NULL);
28thr join();
29printf("parent: end\n");
30
         return 0;
31
32
```
Figure 30.3: Parent Waiting For Child: Use A Condition Variable

#### $\blacksquare$  Two cases:

### 1. parent creates child, continues running:

- 1.1 parent acquires lock
- 1.2 checks for child done (no)
- 1.3 go to sleep via wait()
- 1.4 child eventually runs, exits
- 1.5 parent wakes
- 2. child runs immediately
	- 2.1 child signals, exits
	- 2.2 parent wakes

What if we didn't have the done state variable?

```
void thr_exit() {
\mathbf{1}Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
\overline{2}Pthread_cond_signal(\&c);
\overline{\mathbf{3}}Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
\overline{4}\overline{5}\mathcal{E}6
    void thr_join() {
\overline{7}Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
\bf8Pthread_cond_wait(c, \omegam);
9
           Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
10
     \}11
```
Figure 30.4: Parent Waiting: No State Variable

 $\blacksquare$  Fine if parent runs first....

What if we didn't have the associated lock?

```
void thr_exit() {
\mathbf{1}done = 1:
\overline{2}Pthread_cond_signal(&c);
\overline{\mathbf{3}}\rightarrow\overline{4}\overline{\mathbf{5}}void thr_join() {
6
             if (done == 0)\overline{7}Pthread_cond_wait(xc);
\bf8\overline{9}₹
```
Figure 30.5: Parent Waiting: No Lock

Not good if parent check, then child runs....

Referred to as a "TOCTOU" (Time Of Check to Time\_Of\_Use) vulnerability

# Semaphores and the synchronic synch

- Semaphore: variable with a non-negative integer count
- Semaphore operations:  $P()$  and  $V()$
- **sem ← Semaphore(N)** // define semaphore with count  $N$  ( $\geq 0$ )
- sem.P()  $\mathcal{U}$  blocking
	- wait until sem.count  $> 0$  then decrease sem.count by 1; return
	- $\Box$  checking sem.count  $> 0$  and decrementing are one atomic step
- $\blacksquare$  sem.  $V()$  // non-blocking
	- **a** atomically increase sem.count by 1; return
- $\blacksquare$  V() does not give priority to threads blocked in P()

**a** await  $B: S$ , where S is a code chunk (no blocking or infinite loop) and  $\overline{B}$  is a boolean condition (no side effects):

- **E** execute S only if B holds, all in one atomic step
- **i** if  $B$  does not hold, wait

#### $\blacksquare$  atomic S: short for await True: S

- Example: Given a linked list x with non-blocking functions  $add()$ and  $rmv()$ . To allow multiple threads to call these functions simultaneously, simply wrap them as follows:
	- **a** await True :  $add()$
	- await (xnotempty) :  $rmv()$
- For a multi-threaded program to achieve anything, we have to assume that its threads execute with non-zero speed (but otherwise arbitrarily varying)
- **Making this precise is simple for non-blocking statements but not** for blocking statements (eg, acquire, wait, P, await)
- A thread at an non-blocking statement  $T$  eventually gets past  $T$ Achieved if every unblocked thread periodically gets cpu cycles
- A thread at a blocking statement T eventually gets past T if T is continuously unblocked or repeatedly (but not continuously) unblocked
	- Achieved in most implementations only in a probabilistic sense, not in a deterministic sense

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>1. [Synchronization](#page-1-0)
- 2. [Bounded counter](#page-11-0)

Program P0:

- $\blacksquare$  x, y: global int variables; initially 0
- $\Box$  up(), down() // callable by multiple threads simultaneously
- up() increments x only if  $x < 100$ , and returns  $2*x$
- down() decrements x only if  $x > 0$ , and returns  $2*x$

 $\n **up()**$ : int z await (x < 100):  $x \leftarrow x+1$  $z \leftarrow x$ return 2\*z  $\blacksquare$  down(): int z await  $(x > 0)$ :  $x \leftarrow x-1$  $z \leftarrow x$ return 2\*z

#### Program P1:

```
■ lck \leftarrow Lock()
```
- **n** cvNF  $\leftarrow$  Condition(lck) // for guard (x < 100)
- **c** cvNE  $\leftarrow$  Condition(lck)  $\left| \begin{array}{cc} \end{array} \right|$  for guard (x > 0)

```
\blacksquare x, y \blacksquare
```
 $\n **up()**$ : int z lck.acq() while (not  $x < 100$ ): cvNF.wait()  $x \leftarrow x + 1$  $z \leftarrow x$ cvNE.signal() lck.rel() return 2\*z

 $\blacksquare$  down(): int z lck.acq() while (not  $x > 0$ ): cvNE.wait()  $x \leftarrow x - 1$  $z \leftarrow x$ cvNF.signal() lck.rel() return 2\*z

#### Program P2:

```
\blacksquare x, y \blacksquarelck \leftarrow Lock()
\bullet cv \leftarrow Condition(lck) \left/ for both guards
```

```
\blacksquare up():
   int z
   lck.acq()
   while (not x < 100):
         cv.wait()
   x \leftarrow x + 1z \leftarrow xcv.signal_all()
   lck.rel()
    return 2*z
```
 $\blacksquare$  down(): int z lck.acq() while (not  $x > 0$ ): cv.wait()  $x \leftarrow x - 1$  $z \leftarrow x$ cv.signal\_all() lck.rel() return 2\*z

#### Program P3:

- **n** mutex  $\leftarrow$  Semaphore(1)  $\left/ \right/$  for lck
- gateNF  $\leftarrow$  Semaphore(0)  $\left/ \right/$  for cvNF
- **g** gateNE  $\leftarrow$  Semaphore(0)  $\left/ \right/$  for cvNE

```
\n  <b>up()</b>:
   int z
   mutex.P()
   while (not x < 100)
       mutex.V()
       gateNF.P()
       mutex.P()
   x \leftarrow x + 1z \leftarrow xgateNE.V()
   mutex.V()
   return ← 2*z
```
 $\blacksquare$  x, y  $\blacksquare$  // as in P1  $\blacksquare$  down(): int z mutex.P() while (not  $x > 0$ ) mutex.V() gateNE.P() mutex.P()  $x \leftarrow x - 1$  $z \leftarrow x$ gateNF.V() mutex.V()

return ← 2\*z